	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
	2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA			
	3 4	THE UNITED STATES for the use of (GMW Fire Protection, Inc., an Alaska (Corporation, (Corporation, (Corporation, (Corporation)))			
	5	Plaintiff,)			
	6 7	vs.)			
	8	KANAG'IQ CONSTRUCTION CO., INC., an Alaska Corporation, and WESTERN SURETY COMPANY, a			
	10	South Dakota Corporation,)			
	11	Defendants.) Case No. A05-170 CI (TMB)			
	12	SPECIAL VERDICT FORM			
	13	We, the jury in the above-captioned case, find the following special verdict			
	14				
	15	submitted to us in the above-captioned case:			
	16	I. UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES			
	17	1. Did GMW violate Alaska's Unfair Trade Practices Act?			
	18	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
	19	If you answered "no" to Question No. 1, proceed to Question No. 4. However, if you			
P.C. 930 9501 hone	20	answered "yes" to Question No. 1, then answer Question No. 2.			
Eide & Gingras, P.C. 425 G Street, Suite 930 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 279-0930 telephone (907) 279-0933 fax	21 22	2. Did GMW's violation of Alaska's Unfair Trade Practices Act cause damage to Kanag'iq?			
ide & (425 G St. knchorage 907) 279. (907) 2	23	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
	24	If you answered "no" to Question No. 2, proceed to Question No. 4. However, if you			
	25	answered "yes" to Question No. 2, then answer Question No. 3.			
		Special Verdict Form The United States for the use of GMW Fire Protection v. Kanag'iq Construction Co., Inc., et al. Case No. A05-170 Civil (TMB)			

1	3.	What was the amount that Kanag'iq overpaid GMW? Enter the amount.	
2		Answer:	
3		II. CONTRACT	
4	4.	Was the contract between Kanag'iq and GMW a unit price contract?	
5		Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:	
6 7	5.	Did GMW breach its unit price contract with Kanag'iq?	
8		Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:	
9	6.	Did Kanag'iq breach its unit price contract with GMW?	
10		Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:	
11 12	7.	Did GMW's breach, if any, of its unit price contract with Kanag'iq cause damage to Kanag'iq?	
13		Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:	
14 15	8.	Did Kanag'iq's breach, if any, of its unit price contract with GMW cause damage to GMW?	
16		Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:	
17	9.	If you found that GMW breached its unit price contract with Kanag'iq, what is the amount of Kanag'iq's damages resulting from that breach?	
18			
19		Answer:	
20	10.	is the amount of GMW's damages resulting from that breach?	
21			
22		Answer:	
23			
24			
/ 🤼			

Eide & Gingras, P.C. 425 G Street, Suite 930 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 279-0930 telephone (907) 279-0933 fax

Special Verdict Form *The United States for the use of GMW Fire Protection v. Kanag'iq Construction Co., Inc., et al.* Case No. A05-170 Civil (TMB)

Page 2 of 3

1	III. COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH A	ND FAIR DEALING		
2	11 D'1 CMW/1 1 41 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 C 1	faith and fair dealing?		
3	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
4 5	in you answered no to Question No. 11, then answer Q			
6 7	12. What dollar amount will fairly compensate Kanag'iq for GMW's breach of the implied promise of good faith and fair dealing?			
8	Answer.			
9	9 IV. QUANTUM MER	UIT		
10	13. If there was no contract between GMW and Kanag'iq:			
11	a. Did GMW furnish materials and provid	e services to Kanag'iq; and		
12	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
13 14	b. Did Kanag'ig knowingly accept the			
15	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
16 17	c. Did Kanag'iq unfairly benefit from th			
18	Answer "yes" or "no." Answer:			
19 20 21	14. If you answer "yes" to Questions a, b, and c above, what amount represent the reasonable value of the materials and services rendered by GMW, les any amount already paid by Kanag'iq?			
22				
23		2008.		
24				
25	Foreper Foreper	son of the Jury		

Special Verdict Form

The United States for the use of GMW Fire Protection v. Kanag'iq Construction Co., Inc., et al.

Case No. A05-170 Civil (TMB)

Page 3 of 3